#### Note to teachers and students

Many of the marking criteria on the following pages are broken down into four categories and may contain phrases like those that follow:

Section of the	5 – 4.5 marks	4 – 3.5 marks	3 – 2.5 marks or below	2 marks or below
Section of the Report	insightful	clear and relevant	expresses / identifies / supports but some parts may not be clear, logical or convincing	limited unclear inaccurate not relevant not be fully developed, clear, logical or
5 marks			region of continuing	convincing

To fully understand the marking criteria, it is important to understand what some of these phrases mean:

### Insightful:

To understand the true nature of a thing. To be able to look clearly and deeply into a problem and show an understanding of many aspects of the issue, not just those aspects that are given to you. To be aware of causes, implications and consequences.

#### Clear and relevant:

To address the question/task in an organised and logical manner based on the information that is given to you. <u>Grammar is not taken into consideration</u> unless it impedes meaning.

## Expresses / identifies / supports ... but some parts may not be clear, logical or convincing:

To give an answer that mostly addresses the question / task correctly, but with some parts of the explanation or analysis not expressing the meaning clearly, not logically linking ideas together (for example, linking cause and effect), or not providing strong reasons to support your ideas.

## Limited ... unclear ... inaccurate ... not relevant ... not be fully developed, clear, logical or convincing:

To not address the question/task in enough detail to satisfy the requirements of the ETS 1 course. The information may have been communicated in a confusing manner, contain factual errors or information that is not related to the question being asked.

# ETS 1 - Research Report Writing Assessment Marking Criteria

Note to markers: If a student's work has elements of 2 marking categories, the mark should be given from the category that best reflects the student's work.

# Findings: 10 marks total (5 marks for each finding)

Key data refers to information such as graph elements, values, percentages and dates.

Finding (Chart)	5 – 4.5 marks	4 – 3.5 marks	3 - 2.5 marks	2 marks or below
Finding (Chart)	The graph presentation shows a	The graph presentation shows a	The graph presentation <b>shows</b> a	Two or more parts of the graph
5 marks for	clear structure, i.e. <i>clear</i> introduction of subject & purpose of	clear structure, i.e. introduction of subject & purpose of graph,	basic structure, i.e. introduction of subject & purpose of graph,	presentation is <b>unclear</b> or <b>missing</b> , i.e. introduction of subject &
each chart	graph, description of key data &	description of key data &	description of key data &	purpose of graph, description of key
	conclusion.	conclusion.	conclusion, but one element may	data & conclusion.
Note: Line charts should		Mostly relevant data presented	<b>be</b> implied or unclear.	Key data may be <b>insufficient</b> or <b>too</b>
be described as	All relevant data presented accurately and logically using	accurately and logically, with	Mostly relevant data presented with	general and presented
trends. Other	appropriate language for the graph	occasional but nonintrusive lapses, using mostly appropriate language	some lapses in accuracy or logic, using some appropriate language	mechanically, with 50% or more being inaccurate or irrelevant.
charts as	type (comparative / trend).	for the graph type (comparative /	for the graph type (comparative/	Language used to describe the data
comparatives.		trend).	trend).	may be inappropriate for the graph
	Clear & relevant concluding sentence with accurate & correct in-	Clear & mostly relevant concluding	Concluding sentence is stated or	type (comparative / trend).
	text reference.	sentence with accurate & correct in-	implied, but may only be partially	Concluding sentence missing or
		text reference.	relevant, with mostly accurate in-	irrelevant. In-text reference missing
			text reference.	or inaccurate.

# Analytical Discussion: 10 marks total (5 marks for each section)

Internatetica	5 – 4.5 marks	4 – 3.5 marks	3 – 2.5 marks or below	2 marks or below
<u>Interpretation</u>	Provides insightful	Provides links/generalisations that	Some links/generalisations provided	Very limited, confusing or no
Emarka	links/generalisations that <b>draw</b>	draw connections or	that draw connections or	links/generalisations made to <b>draw</b>
5 marks	connections or relationships	relationships between data sets.	relationships between data sets.	connections or relationships
	between data sets.			between data sets.
	Expresses a <b>convincing</b> & <b>clear</b> opinion about reasons, explanations or presents implications of specific comparisons or trends.	Expresses a <b>relevant opinion</b> about reasons, explanations or presents implications of specific comparisons or trends, though it could be developed further.	Expresses an opinion about reasons, explanations or presents implications of specific comparisons or trends, but some parts may not be clear, logical or convincing.	No opinion or irrelevant opinion given about reasons, explanations or presents implications of specific comparisons or trends.
				Limited or incorrect referencing.
	Accurate in-text referencing given when using key data (values, percentages, numbers etc.).	Accurate in-text referencing given when using key data (values, percentages, numbers etc.).	In-text referencing of key data (values, percentages, numbers etc.) with one or two errors.	* A maximum of 1 mark if only a repeat of information from section 2.

Evaluation	5 – 4.5 marks	4 – 3.5 marks	3 – 2.5 marks or below	2 marks or below
Evaluation 5 marks	Expresses a convincing & clear opinion on how the key data from Findings/Interpretation address the	expresses a relevant & clear opinion on how the key data from Findings/Interpretation address the	Expresses an opinion on how the key data from Findings / Interpretation address the issue	Does not express an opinion, or expresses an opinion not clearly related to how Findings /
	issue raised in 1.2 purpose of the report.	issue raised in 1.2 purpose of the report.	raised in 1.2 purpose of the report.  Supports the opinion with evidence	Interpretation address the issue raised in 1.2 purpose of the report.  Supports the opinion with evidence
	Supports the opinion with relevant evidence from the Findings / Interpretation and insightful reasons, explanations or implications.	Supports the opinion with <b>relevant</b> evidence from the Findings / Interpretation and <b>relevant</b> reasons, explanations or implications.	from the Findings / Interpretation and provides reasons, explanations or implications, but some parts may not be fully developed, clear, logical or convincing.	from the Findings / Interpretation and provides reasons, explanations or implications, but some parts may not be fully developed, clear, logical or convincing.
	Correct in-text referencing if necessary.	Correct in-text referencing where necessary.	Mostly correct in-text referencing where necessary.	Incorrect in-text referencing where necessary.

# Conclusion: 5 marks total (2.5 marks each)

Canalusian	2.5 marks	2 marks	1.5 marks	1 mark or below
Limitations (2.5 marks)  Recommendations (2.5 marks)	Clearly identifies 2 limitations showing what the research limitations are in reference to the key data and opinions given in section 3.2 Evaluation.  Explains clearly and logically how the research is limited by those limitations.	Clearly identifies 2 limitations showing what the research limitations are in reference to the key data and opinions given in section 3.2 Evaluation.  Explains how the research is limited by those limitations, though the explanation could be further developed.	Identifies 2 research limitations that partly address the key data and opinions given in Section 3.2 Evaluation, or only 1 limitation that clearly addresses the key data.  Explains how the research is limited by those limitations, but some parts may not be clear, logical or convincing.	Does not identify or identifies irrelevant research limitations in reference to the key data and opinions given in section 3.2 Evaluation.  Does not explain how the research is limited by those limitations, or the explanation is not logical, meaningful, or relevant.  * A max. of 1 mark if only repeated information from other sections
	Recommendations are logically linked to the research limitations or provide clear and practical solutions to the limitation of research.	Recommendations are logically linked to the research limitations or provide mostly practical solutions to the limitation of research.	Recommendations may be only partly logically linked to the research limitations or may not provide practical solutions to the limitation of research.	Recommendations are missing or not related to the <b>research limitations</b> .

Sentence Structure, Grammar and Syntax: 20 marks total (10 marks for each section)					
Sentence & Clause	10-9 marks	8-7 marks	6-5 marks	4-3 marks	2 marks or lower
Structure 10 marks	Various sentence & clause structures are correctly & accurately used, i.e. compound, complex & compound complex sentence structure.	Correct use of sentence & clause structure i.e. compound, complex & compound complex sentence structure with some non-systematic errors.	Limited use of sentence & clause structure; the meanings are expressed through mostly simple and basic sentences. Some systematic errors, but not intrusive.	Attempted to use complex sentence & clause structure but errors persistent, some intrusive.	Errors are intrusive & showing only occasional or no control of sentence & clause structure.
Grammar and Syntax	10-9 marks	8-7 marks	6-5 marks	4-3 marks	2 marks or lower
10 marks	Consistent, appropriate use of verb tenses, forms, voice & modality.  Consistent, accurate use of parts of speech, vocabulary and spelling.  Consistent, appropriate use of articles, prepositions, conjunctions, linking words and punctuation.	Appropriate use of verb tenses, forms, voice & modality.  Accurate use of parts of speech, vocabulary and spelling.  Appropriate use of articles, prepositions, conjunctions, linking words and punctuation.	Limited (some) control of verb tenses, forms, voice & modality.  Limited (some) control of parts of speech, vocabulary and spelling.  Limited (some) control of articles, prepositions, conjunctions, linking words and punctuation.	Systematic errors that are intrusive and affect meaning.  Some parts may be copied from the Task Sheet.  Repetitive text.	Errors prevalent which severely affect meaning.  Large parts of the text is repetitive or copied from the Task Sheet.
		Some non-systematic errors may be present that do not impeded meaning.	Some repetitive language uses or language errors that occasionally impede meaning.		

### **Special Categories:**

1. The length: If the report is under-length. The following penalties will apply:

490-480 words: -1 mark | 479-460 words: -2 marks | 459-450 words: -3 marks | Below 449 words: Refer to subject / course coordinator

## 2. Formatting: If the report does not follow the formatting requirements listed in the Report Task Sheet

The following elements missing, inconsistent and/or incomplete:

TOC; Page numbers including correct page number and number of pages, Headings; Subheadings; Spacing between paragraphs and/or lines.

1 error - 0.5; 2 to 3 errors - 1; 4 to 5 errors - 2